

State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining

JOHN R. BAZA
Division Director

September 9, 2016

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT 7013 2250 0000 2310 2729

David McMullin CS Mining LLC P. O. Box 608 Milford, Utah 84751

Subject: Conditional Approval of Amended Notice of Intention to Commence Large Mining

Operations and Directive to Provide Additional Reclamation Surety, CS Mining LLC,

Hidden Treasure Mine, M/001/0067, Beaver County, Utah

Dear Mr. McMullin:

The Division of Oil, Gas and Mining conditionally approves the amended Notice of Intention to Commence Large Mining Operations (Notice) which was received June 30, 2016, in response to the Division's directive. The amendment includes plans for utilizing the existing processing infrastructure as an acid neutralization system for treatment of the acidic Intermediate Tailings Disposal Facility (ITDF) tailings decant solution, as well as solution in the raffinate and PLS ponds and any excess acid. You are now authorized and directed to operate the acid neutralization system as planned in the amendment.

You are hereby directed to do the following:

- 1) By September 30, 2016, address the enclosed comments to provide the Division with updated and more detailed third-party neutralization and evaporation costs and associated information for the ITDF decant solution. Enhanced evaporation costs were omitted. CS Mining's demonstration of partial neutralization over the next several weeks can be used to justify different neutralization cost estimates.
- 2) By October 15, 2016, based on up-to-date reclamation cost estimates, provide the Division with any additional reclamation surety, beyond the existing surety, that may be required to ensure that the Division has sufficient surety to neutralize ITDF decant solutions.



Page 2 of 6 David McMullin M/001/0067 September 9, 2016

The additional information is needed because CS Mining's proposed reclamation cost estimate (RCE) relies on significant assumptions in the amendment which are inexact estimates. For example, the amounts of lime kiln dust (LKD) reportedly required for neutralization are from bench-scale laboratory tests, not actual operation of the neutralization circuit.

The Division may independently calculate and approve reclamation costs, and reallocate the reclamation surety as needed in order to most effectively reclaim the site to meet the requirements of the reclamation plan and rule R647-4, including neutralization and evaporation activities.

The Division will suspend further review of the Notice of Intention until your response to this letter is received. Please contact Peter Brinton at 801-538-5258 or me at 801-538-5261 if you have questions concerning the review. Thank you for your cooperation in completing this permitting action.

Sincerely,

Paul B. Baker

Minerals Program Manager

PBB: pnb: eb

Attachment: Review comments

Ed Ginouves, BLM (eginouve@blm.gov)
Dan Hall, DWQ (dhall@utah.gov)
Mark Novak, DWQ (mnovak@utah.gov)

Woody Campbell, DWQ (wwcampbell@utah.gov)

P:\GROUPS\MINERALS\WP\M001-Beaver\M0010067-HiddenTreasure\final\CONDAPVL-7434, 7547-09022016.docx

Page 3 of 6 David McMullin M/001/0067 September 9, 2016

REVIEW OF AMENDED NOTICE OF INTENTION TO COMMENCE LARGE MINING OPERATIONS

CS Mining LLC
Hidden Treasure Mine

M/001/0067 September 7, 2016

General Comments:

| Comment # | Sheet/Page/ Map/Table # | Comments | Initials | Review Action |
|-----------|-------------------------------|--|----------|------------------|
| | | The Division's priority for addressing these review comments is the reclamation cost estimate section and the other comments directly related to that calculation, including a current measure of acidity (not just pH). | pnb | |

R647-4-105 - Maps, Drawings & Photographs

105.2 - Surface facilities map

| Comment # | Sheet/Page/ Map/Table # | Comments | Initials | Review Action |
|-----------|-------------------------------|--|----------|------------------|
| | Omission | Update the facilities map to identify any changes (e.g. installation of any significant equipment) associated with the neutralization circuit. | pnb | |
| | Omission | Update the ITDF map to identify the locations of the booster station, other pumps, and the intake and discharge points associated with the neutralization circuit. | pnb | |

105.3 - Drawings or Cross Sections (slopes, roads, pads, etc.)

| Comment # | Sheet/Page/ Map/Table # | Comments | Initials | Review Action |
|-----------|--|--|----------|------------------|
| | Neutralizati on Circuit Flow Sheet | Identify the position of pumps within the neutralization circuit flow sheet. | pnb | |
| | on Circuit | Indicate the portions of this neutralization circuit flow sheet that represent activities only occurring during the active operation of copper production facilities (vs. neutralization during inactivity). | pnb | |

R647-4-106 - Operation Plan

106.2 - Type of operations - mining method, onsite processing, deleterious or acid-forming materials

| Comment # | Sheet/Page/ Map/Table # | Comments | Initials | Review Action | |
|-----------|-------------------------------|----------|----------|------------------|--|
|-----------|-------------------------------|----------|----------|------------------|--|

Page 4 of 6 David McMullin M/001/0067 September 9, 2016

| Comment # | Sheet/Page/ Map/Table # | Comments | Initials | Review Action |
|--|-------------------------------|--|----------|------------------|
| | Page 3 | Provide an analysis identifying a current, useful measure of acidity (mg CaCO ₃ /L) or the molar strength of the current tailings decant pond water in the ITDF. | pnb | |
| | Page 4 | Identify the pump types (e.g. model, horsepower, stainless steel, etc) for both the slurry/tailings and the return water line. | pnb | |
| | Page 4 | Identify whether the LKD slaking process in Leach Tank 3 is operated as a batch process or continuously (with constant feed of lime to the circuit). Report the residence time of LKD in the tank and the flow rate of LKD to the tank and ITDF. | pnb | |
| | Page 4 | Explain any treatment efforts (or their lack) to minimize scaling in tanks and pipes. | pnb | -1 |
| The second secon | Omission | Provide explanation for the amount of LKD per cubic yard of stored acid, raffinate, and decantate that are shown in the neutralization line items of the reclamation cost estimate. | pnb | |
| | Omission | Identify whether the sludge precipitated from the LKD and ITDF decant water is possibly deleterious (e.g. heavy metals). | pnb | |

106.3 - Estimated acreages disturbed, reclaimed, annually/sequentially

| Comment # | Sheet/Page/ Map/Table # | Comments | Initials | Review Action |
|-----------|-------------------------------|--|----------|------------------|
| | Omission | Report any expansion (or lack thereof) of acreage associated with the neutralization and evaporation activities. | pnb | |

106.4 - Nature of materials mined or processed (including waste materials), and estimated annual tonnages

| Comment # | Sheet/Page/ Map/Table # | Comments | Initials | Review Action |
|-----------|-------------------------------|--|----------|------------------|
| | Page 3, Table | Identify the starting pH of the "Untreated" water in the table. | pnb | |
| | Omission | Identify and briefly discuss the nature of the LKD (pH, lime content, iron and trace metals concentrations, etc). This information may be provided as Graymont documentation, or through analytical testing. | pnb | |
| | Omission | Identify and briefly discuss the nature of the sludge resulting from treatment (pH, lime content, metals concentrations, physical characteristics, etc). | pnb | |
| | Omission | Provide the Division with analyses of ITDF decant solutions both before and after treatment. | pnb | |

106.9 - Location & size of ore and waste piles, tailings, ponds

| Comment # | Sheet/Page/ Map/Table # | Comments | Initials | Review Action |
|-----------|-------------------------------|--|----------|------------------|
| | Omission | Identify the approximate current volume and acreage of the ITDF decant solution. | pnb | |

106.10 - Amounts of material

| Comment # | Sheet/Page/ Map/Table # | Comments | Initials | Review Action |
|-----------|-------------------------------|---|----------|------------------|
| | Omission | Identify the amount of LKD needed to neutralize acidic solutions. | pnb | |

Page 5 of 6 David McMullin M/001/0067 September 9, 2016

R647-4-109 - Impact Assessment

109.1 - Projected impacts to surface & groundwater systems

| Comment # | Sheet/Page/ Map/Table # | Comments | Initials | Review Action |
|-----------|-------------------------------|--|----------|------------------|
| | Omission | Identify the projected impacts (or lack thereof) and any mitigation to surface and groundwater systems associated with: 1) placement of acid solutions in the ITDF in the past, 2) placement of future tailings in the ITDF with the neutralization circuit in operation, 3) neutralization of the current acidic solutions in the ITDF decant pond, and evaporation of the ITDF decant pond. | pnb | |

109.2 - Potential impacts to threatened & endangered wildlife/habitat

| Comment # | Sheet/Page/ Map/Table # | Comments | Initials | Review Action |
|-----------|-------------------------------|--|----------|------------------|
| | Omission | Identify the potential impacts (or lack thereof) and any mitigation to threatened and endangered species or their critical habitats associated with: 1) placement of acid solutions in the ITDF in the past, 2) placement of future tailings in the ITDF with the neutralization circuit in operation, 3) neutralization of the current acidic solutions in the ITDF decant pond, and 4) evaporation of the ITDF decant pond. | pnb | • |

109.3 - Projected impacts on existing soils resources

| Comment # | Sheet/Page/ Map/Table | Comments | Initials | Review |
|-----------|--------------------------|--|----------|--------|
| | # Omission | Identify the projected impacts (or lack thereof) and any mitigation to soil resources associated with: 1) placement of acid solutions in the ITDF in the past, 2) placement of future tailings in the ITDF with the neutralization circuit in operation, 3) neutralization of the current acidic solutions in the ITDF decant pond, and 4) evaporation of the ITDF decant pond. | pnb | Action |

109.4 - Projected impacts on slope stability, erosion control, air quality, public health and safety

| Comment | Sheet/Page/ | | | |
|---------|-------------|----------|----------|--------|
| # | Map/Table | Comments | Initials | Review |
| | # | | | Action |

Page 6 of 6 David McMullin M/001/0067 September 9, 2016

| Comment # | Sheet/Page/ Map/Table # | Comments | Initials | Review Action |
|-----------|-------------------------------|--|----------|------------------|
| | Omission | Identify the projected impacts (or lack thereof) and any mitigation on slope stability, erosion control, air quality, and public health and safety associated with: 1) placement of acid solutions in the ITDF in the past, 2) placement of future tailings in the ITDF with the neutralization circuit in operation, 3) neutralization of the current acidic solutions in the ITDF decant pond, and 4) evaporation of the ITDF decant pond. | pnb | |

R647-4-110 - Reclamation Plan

110.2 - Reclamation of roads, highwalls, slopes, impoundments, drainages, pits, piles, shafts, adits, etc

| Comment # | Sheet/Page/ Map/Table # | Comments | Initials | Review Action |
|-----------|-------------------------------|---|----------|------------------|
| | Omission | Identify any portions of the reclamation plan (e.g. ITDF) that will be impacted (or not) by neutralization activities, and related changes to the plan (e.g. any changes to the ITDF cover, timing, etc). | pnb | |

110.4 - Description or treatment/location/disposition of deleterious or acid forming materials, including map

| Comment # | Sheet/Page/ Map/Table # | Comments | Initials | Review Action |
|-----------|-------------------------------|---|----------|------------------|
| | Omission | Discuss the disposal methods of any deleterious associated with the neutralization materials (e.g. acidic tailings, sludge from treatment, crud from tanks, etc). | pnb | |

R647-4-113 - Surety

| Comment # | Sheet/Page/ Map/Table # | Comments | Initials | Review Action |
|-----------|----------------------------------|--|----------|------------------|
| | Earthwork, Mill Facilities | Correct the amount per cubic yard of LKD required for neutralization of the remaining acid and raffinate. | pnb | |
| | Earthwork, ITDF | Correct the labor rate to include one foreman and one laborer, which is the number of individuals needed to operate the neutralization system. | pnb | |
| | Earthwork, ITDF | Update the ITDF operation cost line items to reflect the nature of the neutralization circuit as either batch or continuous, including any impacts to costs associated with the operation time and mixing tank residence time. | pnb | |
| | Omission | Provide costs for the enhanced evaporation of the treated ITDF decant water. | pnb | |